APPEAL START	APPEAL	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS &	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	APPEAL DECISION	REASONS FOR
DATE	REFERENCE	DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION		DAT	Έ	DECISION

6 th April 2021	APP/M2372/W/21/3269504	14 Tower Street	Written	Dismissed &	16 th August	The extended garden
		Chapeltown	Representations	Appeal Costs	2021	area would result in
	10/20/1066	BL7 0EU		application		the loss of an
				dismissed.		important feature in
		Extension of				the conservation area
		residential curtilage				 alleyways, narrow
		to side and proposed				paths and roads
		single storey				contribute to the
		side/rear extension				character of the
						conservation area.
						The Inspector agreed.
						Regarding the
						extension, it is
						considered this would
						disrupt the rhythm of
						the terrace and the
						forward projection
						along the side wall
						would appear
						discordant against the
						substantial gable wall
						due to the offset
						alignment and
						introduction of a
						secondary pitched
						roof and gable,
						contrary to Policies 8,
						11 and 39 of the Local
						Plan Part 2. The Costs

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS & DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	ON APPEAI DATE	L DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
						decision was dismissed — it was from the Council's submission that the main issues at the appeal related to the effect on the significance of the conservation area, and not the legal argument relating to the interpretation of the term "curtilage" or its extent in relation to the appeal site. Nor did the Council pursue such arguments at the appeal stage. The applicant could have pursued their misgivings over the description at the start of the application, but did not. Therefore, the Inspector concluded there was no unreasonable behaviour by the
6 th April 2021	APP/M2372/Z/21/326 10/20/1075	58839 Elim Pentecostal Church Park Road	Written Representations	Dismissed	19 th July 2021	Council. The Inspector considered that the proposed advert in the

APPEAL START DATE		PEAL SITE ADDRESS & PMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	I APPEAL I DATE	DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
23 rd June 2021	APP/M/2372/W/21/3275902 10/20/1159	Blackburn BB2 3DJ 4m (w) x 6 (h) single sided digital advertising sheet Land off Accrington Road Blackburn Erection of 3 number terrace style dwelling houses on vacant land off Accrington Road	Written Representations	Dismissed & Appeal Costs application dismissed.	21 st September 2021	location would be of significant size and scale dominating the area thereby harming the area. The adjacent road junction at Grimshaw Park/Russell Street has a history of collisions. The Inspector considered the advert would draw the attention of road users at a busy junction and thereby would harm public safety. The Inspector considered that the configuration of the proposed development would appear contrived and would not be of a high standard of design. The proposed would have a significantly harmful effect on the
						character and appearance of the surrounding area,

wi	
Po Pla ap dis institution of the state of th	ereby conflicting of the Policy CS16 of the pre Strategy and policy 11 of the Local an Part 2. The Costs oplication was smissed – The spector considered at the Council's atement of case and ecision notice dequately articulated as objection to the heme and reasons refusal. At the presplication stage there as no evidence to ggest that the puncil would support e application. Herefore, the spector considered ere was no preasonable enaviour emonstrated by the puncil which resulted the appeal being bmitted.

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE	APPEAL SIT DEVELOPMENT D	E ADDRESS & ESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECI	SION APPEAL DATE	DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
26 th July 2021	APP/M/2372/W/21/ 10/21/0197	Higher Tockho Chang agricul to 2 No	oles e of use from tural building	Written Representations	Allowed	17 th November 2021	The Planning Inspector considered from the evidence submitted that the building has been subject to occasional casual nonagricultural uses since it was last in agricultural use. On the balance of probabilities, the Inspector considered no material change of use has occurred to prevent the conditions is Class Q1 being utilised. The Inspector considered that the extent of works proposed to create the dwellings would not be so significant to be considered as a conversion i.e. it would not be a new build. The Inspector in his decision noted that the Council did not object to the design and external appearance (this is

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS & DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	APPEAL (DATE	DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
13 th July 2021	APP/M/2372/W/21/ 10/21/0205	/3275709 Land to the South of Cranberry Fold Couloff Cranberry Lane Darwen BB3 2HX Erection of agricultural storage building and access track	rt Representations	Dismissed	10 th September 2021	not correct and officers have responded back to the Inspectorate requesting an explanation from the Inspector). The Inspector concluded that the proposed works would comply with the relevant criteria of Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q of the GPDO. The Planning Inspector considered based on the evidence submitted, with regards to the definition of "agricultural building" – part 3 and "agricultural use" – part 6 of the GPDO, the tree planting and hay crop have yet to occur, and there is little evidence to demonstrate an agricultural business basis of any livestock

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE DEV	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS & ELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	I APPEAL D DATE	DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
17 th August 2021	APP/M/2372/D/21/32775 10/21/0230	15 848 Livesey Branch Road Blackburn BB2 5EG Single storey rear extension and double storey side extension	Written Representations	Allowed	16 th November 2021	rearing enterprise by the appellant, or little evidence of grazing activity on the wider site. As such, it was considered that the proposal is not reasonably necessary in conjunction with an established commercial agricultural enterprise benefitting from Class Part 6 rights of the 2015 GPDO. The Planning Inspector considered that whilst the proposal would close the gap between the appeal host property and No.846, a gap from the proposal to the boundary between the two would remain, and a view of the sky would be still be possible from the kitchen window at No.846. As such, the Inspector considered

APPEAL START DATE		PPEAL SITE ADDRESS & PMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	DATE	DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
9 th June 2021	APP/M/2372/H/21/3275389 10/21/0243	Mayfield garage Bolton Road Blackburn BB2 3QN The erection of a freestanding 48- sheet sized digital LED advertising unit	Written Representations	Allowed	10 th September 2021	that that proposal would not be overbearing and would not harm the living conditions of the occupants of No.846 due to an unacceptable loss of light or overshadowing effect. The proposal would therefore comply with Policy 8 of the Local Plan Part 2. The Council approved the advertising unit on the 17th May 2021, with the imposition of conditions, which are subject to the appeal. Condition No.8 — scheme of luminance levels:- The Planning Inspector considered this was not necessary due to its commercial surroundings, and the display panel could be fitted with a light sensor that adjusts the brightness to ambient

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS & DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE APPEAL DECISION		APPEAL DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
					light levels. The condition wording was amended. Condition No.9 – the requirement to submit foundation and structural details is considered unnecessary by the Inspector due to the very limited possibility of traffic colliding with the sign. The condition was deleted. Condition 10 – this required none of the details of the sign should display red or green. The Inspector considered the signal-controlled junction is located a considerable distance from the appeal site. As such, the Inspector considered there was enough distance to ensure that the use of red or green as majority colours in the adverts, would not

APPEAL START DATE	APPEAL REFERENCE	APPEAL SITE ADDRESS & DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION DA	APPEAL DECISION REASONS FOR DECISION
					result in a colour
					washout with the
					existing traffic signals.
					The Condition was
					removed.
					Condition 11 – this
					required an advert
					shall change no more
					than once in every 60
					second time interval.
					The Inspector
					considered by
					reducing the rate of
					change of the display
					to no more frequently
					than every 10 seconds,
					it is likely drivers
					approaching would
					see no more than 1 or
					2 adverts. The
					distraction would only
					be momentary, and
					the display would
					integrate into the
					immediate
					surroundings, and as
					such would not
					conflict with Policy 10
					of the Local Plan Part
					2. The wording of the

		APPEAL REFERENCE	PEAL SITE ADDRESS & PMENT DESCRIPTION	APPEAL TYPE	APPEAL DECISION	APPEAL D	ECISION	REASONS FOR DECISION
				,			T	
							condition condition	on was thereby ed.

TOTAL NUMBER OF DECISIONS: 7

TOTAL NUMBER ALLOWED: 3 (43%)

TOTAL NUMBER DISMISSED: 4 (57%)